- The sunlight degradation of five Fluoroquinolones was studied in WWTPs effluent
- The photodegradation was studied at environmentally significant concentrations
- Photoproducts were identified and their distribution profiles were monitored
- The toxicity of the photoproducts was studied by long-term $V$. fischeri assay
- Photoproducts contribution to the overall biotoxic effect ( $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ level) was proved
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#### Abstract

The photodegradation of Ciprofloxacin (CIP), Enrofloxacin (ENR), Danofloxacin (DAN), Marbofloxacin (MAR) and Levofloxacin (LEV), five widely used Fluoroquinolones (FQs), was studied in urban WWTP secondary effluent, under solar light. The degradation profiles and the kinetic constants were determined at the micrograms per litre levels $\left(20-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$. The photo-generated products were identified by high-pressure liquid chromatography coupled to electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-ESI-MS/MS). The toxicity of the photoproducts was assessed by $V$. fischeri light emission inhibition assay performed on irradiated and not-irradiated FQs solutions, at environmentally significant concentrations. Attention was focused on the evaluation of the photoproducts contribution to the overall biotoxic effect of these emerging pollutants. Data from chronic exposure experiments (24-48 h) were primarily considered. Results confirmed the major usefulness of chronic toxicity data with respect to the acute assay ones and proved the not negligible biotoxicity of the FQs photodegradation products.
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## 1. Introduction

In the last decades increasing attention has been paid to the occurrence, behaviour, and fate of pharmaceutically active compounds introduced in the environment. Despite this, the still limited knowledge about the environmental fate and effect of pharmaceuticals requires intensive, further researches (Babić et al., 2013). Fluoroquinolones (FQs) represent an important class of emerging pollutants in water and soil environmental systems (Andreu et al., 2007; Speltini et al., 2010; Speltini et al., 2011). These drugs are the most frequently detected in water, followed by sulphonamides, tetracycline, and macrolides (Kusari et al., 2009). FQs are amphoteric molecules obtained by modification of the quinolone core structure by insertion of a fluorine atom in C-6 position and a piperazinyl - or piperazine derivative group at C-7. They act through inhibition of bacterial DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV enzymes. The fluorine atom at C-6 position of the ring provides a more than 10 -fold increase in gyrase inhibition and up to 100 -fold improvement in minimum inhibitory concentrations, while substituent groups at position C-7 play a key role in determining the antibacterial spectrum and bioavailability. These synthetic antibiotics are widely employed both in human and veterinary medicine due to their high potency, broad activity spectrum, good bioavailability, high serum levels, and a potentially low incidence of side-effects (Andersson and MacGowan, 2003). After administration, FQs are only partially metabolised, thus large part of the ingested dose ( $>50 \%$ ) is excreted with no structural modification. A minor fraction of the ingested dose is excreted as Phase I (addition of reactive functional groups through oxidation, reduction or hydrolysis) or Phase II (covalent conjugation to polar molecules, e.g. glucoronic acid, sulphate, acetic acid or amino acids) metabolites (Van Doorslaer et al., 2011; Reemtsma and Jekel, 2006).

The poor metabolization poses serious issues. Variable amounts of these antibacterial agents are regularly released in their active form by the wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), not capable of a quantitative abatement. Ciprofloxacin (CIP) has been determined at concentration up to $5.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ in WWTPs effluents (Andreozzi et al., 2003) and FQs have been frequently detected in environmental waters at concentrations ranging from $n \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ to $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ (Speltini et al., 2010). In spite of the continuous release of FQs the accumulation to high concentrations is hampered by FQs photosensitivity. Indeed, photochemistry represents the main transformation path of these compounds resistant to hydrolysis, thermal decomposition, and biodegradation (Andreozzi et al., 2003; Speltini et al., 2010; Sturini et al., 2014). Nevertheless, complete mineralization is hard to achieve in water systems under the most common environmental conditions (Kusari et al., 2009), leading to the persistence of various photoproducts together with residual parent drugs (Babić et al., 2013; Prabhakaran et al., 2009; Sturini et al., 2010; Sturini et al., 2014). The degradation kinetics is influenced by the organic and inorganic matrix constituents and by adsorption on suspended particulate, both having large effects on the degradation rates (Andreozzi et al., 2003; Schmitt-Kopplin et al., 1999; Sturini et al., 2010; Sturini et al., 2014).

In view of the growing demand for decontaminated water supplies, various research groups focused on photocatalysis for the remediation of FQ -contaminated waters, in order to develop efficient water purification processes (Maraschi et al., 2014; Sturini et al., 2012a; Van Doorslaer et al., 2011; Vasquez et al., 2013). The formation of photo-generated products has to be considered to realistically value the overall environmental impact of FQs pollution. In this context, recent works showed that beside the parent drugs also their photoproducts exert antimicrobial activity, contributing to stimulate bacterial resistance (De Bel et al., 2009; Kusari et al., 2009; Sturini et al. 2012b; Sukul et al. 2009).

More recently, different studies have been focused to assess the ecotoxicity of photolyzed aqueous FQs solutions (Li et al., 2011; Sirtori et al., 2012; Vasconcelos et al., 2009; Vasquez et al., 2013). The results currently available indicate that despite the degradation of the parent drugs, after photolysis the solutions preserved significant toxicity, reasonably ascribed to the formation of bioactive products (Li et al., 2011; Sirtori et al., 2012; Vasconcelos et al., 2009). However, as underlined by Vasconcelos et al. (2009), the concentrations used in these studies (Li et al., 2011; Sirtori et al., 2012) were higher than those normally measured in the environment, and only data from short-time assays are available up to now (Li et al., 2011; Sirtori et al., 2012; Vasconcelos et al., 2009). With regard to this, it should be considered that in the case of the bioluminescence inhibition assay, long-term assays (e.g. 24 h ) are required to obtain more realistic results, because short-term assays underestimate or even fail to detect the toxicity (Backhaus et al., 1997).

The photolytic degradation of FQs in untreated urban WWTP effluents under solar light, at the low micrograms per litre levels, has not been reported as yet. Indeed, Babić et al. (2013) investigated the photolytic degradation of CIP, Enrofloxacin (ENR) and Norfloxacin (NOR) in simulated pharmaceutical industry wastewater. Other studies focused on the photocatalytic abatement of Ofloxacin (OFL) in secondary treated effluents (Michael et al., 2010), or on UV-A radiation of 15-50 mg L ${ }^{-1}$ Moxifloxacin (MOX) solutions in deionised water (Van Doorslaer et al., 2013) or hospital effluent (Van Doorslaer et al., 2015), as well as on $2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ CIP in wastewater effluent under UV (Keen and Linden, 2013).

To the authors' best knowledge, only one paper (Vasquez et al., 2013) reported the chronic effects ( 24 h ) of photolyzed OFL solutions at the low $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ concentration levels on $V$. fischeri light emission, proving that UV degradation processes create genotoxic byproducts.

On the basis of the current state of the art, we deemed interesting to study the photodegradation of five widely employed FQs - CIP, ENR, Danofloxacin (DAN), Marbofloxacin (MAR), Levofloxacin (LEV) - in urban WWTP secondary effluent, under solar light, at the micrograms per litre levels, and to assess the ecotoxicity of the photoproducts from each FQ by long-term $V$. fischeri assay. The degradation profiles have been determined for each drug, the kinetic constants calculated and compared with those previously found in raw river water (Sturini et al., 2012a), and the photoproducts identified by high-pressure liquid chromatography coupled to electrospray ionization
tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-ESI-MS/MS). Differently from the current literature, biotoxicity experiments were conducted to specifically discern the contribute of the photoproducts from that of residual FQs, focusing the work at low FQs concentrations, in the range $1-90 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$.

## 2. Experimental section

### 2.1. Reagents and materials

All chemicals employed were reagent grade or higher in quality and were used without any further purification. Analytical grade CIP, DAN, ENR, LEV and MAR were supplied by Fluka (Sigma-Aldrich). HPLC gradient grade acetonitrile ( ACN ) and methanol ( MeOH ) were from VWR and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(85 \%$, w/w) from Carlo Erba Reagents; $\mathrm{HCOOH}(98-100 \%, \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ was obtained from Merck. Ultra-pure water (resistivity $18.2 \mathrm{M} \Omega \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was produced at laboratory by means of a Millipore (Milan, Italy) Milli-Q system. FQs stock solutions were prepared in pure water and stored in the dark at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use. Working solutions were renewed daily.

The luminescent bacteria $V$. fischeri were employed as lyophilized aliquots, which were prepared from fresh cultures maintained at the laboratory starting from an original batch supplied by the Pasteur Institute (Paris, France). The 96wells "Black Cliniplate" microplates were supplied by Thermo Scientific (Vantaa, Finland). Nutrient broth components were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

### 2.2. Analytical determinations

The HPLC system consisted of a pump Series 200 (Perkin Elmer) equipped with vacuum degasser and a programmable fluorescence detector (FD). The FD excitation/emission wavelengths selected were 297/507 nm for MAR, 280/500 for LEV and $280 / 450 \mathrm{~nm}$ for CIP, DAN and ENR. After an equilibration period of $10 \mathrm{~min}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each sample were injected into a $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Ascentis RP-Amide (Supelco) coupled with a similar guard-column. The mobile phase was $25 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{ACN}(85: 15)$ for 30 min at a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$.

The HPLC-UV system consisted of a Shimadzu (Milan, Italy) LC-20AT solvent delivery module equipped with a DGU-20A3 degasser and interfaced with a SPD-20A UV detector. The injection volume was $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The analysis wavelength selected was $275 \mathrm{~nm} .20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each sample were injected into a $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Analytical Ascentis C 18 (Supelco) coupled with a similar guard-column. The mobile phase was $25 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{ACN}$ (85:15), at a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$.

The HPLC-ESI-MS/MS analyses were performed by using an Agilent 1100 HPLC with a Luna C18 ( $150 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5$ $\mu \mathrm{m})$ column, maintained at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mobile phase was $\mathrm{HCOOH} 0.5 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ in ultrapure water-ACN $(90: 10)$, at a flow rate of $1.2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, and the injection volume was $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$.

The WWTPs sample was analyzed on a Poroshell column $(2.1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}, 2.7 \mu \mathrm{~m})$, with $\mathrm{MeOH} /$ water $-0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}$ (22:78) as the mobile phase (flow rate $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ), allowing a better sensitivity. The MS/MS-system consisted of a linear trap Thermo LXQ. ESI experiments were carried out in positive-ion mode under the following constant instrumental conditions: source voltage of 4.5 kV , capillary voltage of 20 V , capillary temperature of $275^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and normalized-collision energy 35 .

### 2.3. Wastewater samples

Wastewater samples were collected over five consecutive days, excluding Saturday and Sunday. 24-h composite, flowproportioned samples of wastewater were collected from the secondary effluent of a WWTP located in Northern Italy. Before performing kinetic experiments the samples were pooled in order to have a representative sample of the effluent. The main physical-chemical parameters of the wastewater matrix are reported in Table 1.

The sample was analyzed for its native FQs content by a validated method (Sturini et al., 2009) and confirmed by HPLC-ESI-MS/MS

### 2.4. Irradiation experiments

Kinetics experiments were performed by using unfiltered WWTP samples ( $500 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{pH} 6.9$ ) enriched with $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ of each drug ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ for DAN). Samples were photolyzed in an open glass container ( 20 mm depth, exposed surface $280 \times 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) by using a solar simulator (Solar Box 1500 e, CO.FO.ME.GRA) set at a power factor $250 \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$, equipped with a UV outdoor filter of soda lime glass, IR. At the planned times, aliquots ( 1 mL ) of each sample were withdrawn and immediately injected in the HPLC-FD system, after 0.45 mm filtration. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

In order to identify the photoproducts, 10 mL of each FQ $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ in WWTP effluent were irradiated in 10 mL quartz tube by means of $10 \times 15 \mathrm{~W}$ phosphorus-covered low pressure mercury arcs, emission maximum centred at 310 nm (UV flux measured by a 310 nm sensitive probe, $12 \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ ), and immediately injected in the HPLC-UV system prior to HPLC-ESI-MS/MS analysis.

Samples for ecotoxicity tests were prepared starting from $20 \mathrm{~mL} 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\left(25 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ aqueous solutions in tap water (named A) of each FQ. Samples were irradiated ( $310 \mathrm{~nm}, 150 \mathrm{~W}$ ) for different times ( 2 min for DAN, 5 min for CIP, 7
min for ENR, 15 min for LEV, 20 min for MAR) in order to collect the highest amount of photoproducts, verified by HPLC-UV. After photolysis, each solution (named B) was properly diluted to prepare a suitable concentrations interval for the V. fischeri assay.

### 2.5. Toxicity assays

The biotoxicity was evaluated by the application of the ISO standard test based on $V$. fischeri luminescence inhibition and currently employed as reference assay in water quality controls (ISO 11348-3 2009). Being V. fischeri a marine organism the samples were added with NaCl to the $3 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$. The lyophilized bacteria, reconstituted by 1 mL of distilled water, were diluted by addition of a volume (from 10 to 30 mL ) of the specific nutrient broth ( NaCl 15 g , Peptone 2.5 g , NaCl 15 g , Peptone 2.5 g , Yeast extract 1.5 g , Glycerol 1.5 mL , HEPES 1.19 g , in $500 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{pH} 7$ ). To each well of the microplate were added $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of bacteria suspension and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sample or blank ( $3 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ in tap water). Emitted light was recorded by a "Victor light" microplate luminometer (Perkin-Elmer, USA) at various intervals till 24-48 h to evaluate the chronic exposure effects. The light emission value calculated for each sample was the average of 8 replicates.

Bioluminescence inhibition was determined for each drug, in parallel, for the irradiated B and not irradiated C solutions. Solution B contained the maximum amount of photoproducts and a residue of the parent compound (7\% for DAN, 7\% for ENR, $6 \%$ for CIP, $5 \%$ for LEV and MAR), while solution C contained the same amount of parent compound as in B and was prepared by dilution of solution A. The percent distribution of the different photoproducts in solution B is reported in Table 2, and their molecular structures can be found in Supplementary data.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Photodegradation of FQs in urban WWTP secondary effluent

One of the aims of this research was to investigate the sunlight-induced degradation of FQs in WWTP final effluents, which are considered the major contributors to the spread of human antibiotics in the environment (Zuccato et al., 2010). Before the irradiation experiments, FQs background concentration was determined by a previously reported method (Sturini et al., 2009) and confirmed by HPLC-ESI-MS/MS. CIP and LEV, the two most administered FQs for human use (Lillenberg et al., 2010), were found at concentrations of 30 and $55 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$, respectively ( $\mathrm{RSD}<9 \%, n=3$ ). The HPLC-ESI-MS chromatogram is reported in Fig. 1. These results confirmed that WWTPs are unable to completely remove such chemically stable molecules, which can therefore reach environment in their pharmacologically active form and stimulate bacterial resistance. Moreover, their photodegradation generates ecotoxic photoproducts, also at low concentration levels (Li et al., 2011; Sturini et al., 2012b).

The WWTP secondary effluent sample was spiked with $20-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ of each FQ before photolysis since these concentrations were representative of the FQs occurrence in this kind of matrix (Batt et al., 2006; Hartmann et al., 1998; Speltini et al., 2010) and high enough to accurately determine the degradation profiles of FQs avoiding any preconcentration step. Samples were not filtered and no pH adjustment was done because filtration could modify sample characteristics and because photolysis is pH dependent (Sturini et al., 2010).

The photodecomposition profiles are reported in Fig. 2. DAN was decomposed in 15 min , CIP and ENR in about 35 min, MAR required 50 min , while LEV resulted the most persistent among the considered FQs (5 h). Good reproducibility ( $\mathrm{RSD}<5 \%, n=3$ ) was observed for all photodegradation experiments.

Experimental data were fitted by a first-order mono-exponential law by means of the Fig.P application (Fig.P Software Corporation), according to eq. 1 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=A \times e^{-k t} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The kinetic constants $(k)$, expressed in $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$, are reported in Table 3.
Comparing these results with those previously found in river water under the same irradiation conditions (Sturini et al., 2012a) it was observed that the degradation rates were different (see Table 3). Except for MAR, slower decays occurred in WWTP secondary effluent with respect to raw river water. It is evident that the matrix substantially influenced the photodegradation of such compounds. As it can be seen in Table 1, the WWTP effluent was characterized by higher DOC values ( $6 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) with respect to the river water $\left(1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ and this can contribute to slow down the degradation kinetics in WWTP effluent (Sturini et al., 2012a, Li et al., 2011). Moreover, chloride and sulphate concentrations were higher in WWTP effluent, while nitrate concentration was similar in the two kinds of water (see Table 1).

The unaffected MAR photodegradation rate was ascribed to the primary photoreaction mechanism of MAR, which involves a unimolecular process (Pretali et al., 2010). Indeed, N-N bond fragmentation readily initiates its photodegradation, after triplet excited state population, leading to direct photolysis products (see Supplementary Data). All other FQs mainly photodegrade via bimolecular reactions. After triplet excite state population, two degradation pathways are available, that is type 2 nucleophilic substitution on the carbon 6 initiated by the addition of a water molecule, or hydrogen abstraction (mainly from electron rich piperazine ring), the latter being the preferred route.

Bimolecular reactions are considerably slower than the unimolecular reaction, as evident from the quantum yields, $\Phi=0.043$ for MAR (Pretali et al., 2010), $\Phi=0.022$ for ENR (Wammera et al., 2013) and $\Phi=0.0012$ for LEV (Pretali et al., 2010). Indeed, bimolecular photoreactions are more influenced by matrix scavenging processes that can deactivate the reactive triplet state before it can react. LEV, the less reactive among the FQs investigated, always showed the highest persistence, as expected.

During degradation various photoproducts were generated. These were identified by HPLC-ESI-MS/MS (the molecular structures are reported in Supplementary Data) and resulted not different from those obtained in tap and raw river waters (Sturini et al., 2012a) under the same experimental conditions. Their decomposition time ranged from 30 min for DAN to 3 h for LEV. Summing up, sunlight was able to photolyse quantitatively the antibiotics also in WWTP effluents.

### 3.2. Ecotoxicity of FQs photoproducts

Irradiated (B) and not irradiated (C) FQ solutions were tested to estimate the contribution of the photoproducts to the environmental toxicity of each FQ. The $V$. fischeri test was selected to evaluate the FQs biotoxicity because of its widespread application in monitoring and quality control activities on surface and sea water bodies (Girotti et al., 2008). The bioluminescence inhibition was observed for FQ solutions in the concentration range 5-30 $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$; higher concentrations (up to $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ) always reduced to zero the light emission, while very low concentrations ( $<5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}$ ) never induced a significant reduction in the bioluminescence intensity of samples with respect to the controls.

The additive, toxic effect of the photoproducts was evaluated by comparing the intensity of the light emitted by the wells containing the $B$ samples and that emitted by the C samples containing wells ( C samples contained the same FQ s residue concentrations as in B but not the photoproducts). The trend obtained for all the tested solutions was always similar to that reported in Fig. 3. The 1:20 dilution of the samples (concentration range 21-29 $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) produced a significant reduction of the emitted light; in case of CIP, DAN and ENR both the irradiated and not irradiated solutions strongly inhibited the light emission, while only the irradiated LEV and MAR solutions produced a similar effect (Fig. 3a). In the range $14-19 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ (dilution 1:30 of B and C solutions) a strong inhibition of the emitted light by both kinds of samples was still observed only for the CIP solutions. For the other FQs, the not irradiated solutions produced no or not so significant inhibition. On the contrary, the solutions containing the photoproducts resulted definitely more toxic, with the exception of MAR samples (Fig. 3b).

It was immediately clear that these data confirmed the toxicity peculiar to the photoproducts. Their noxious effects on the bacterial metabolism were in addition to those of the parent compound, in some cases doubling or multiplying the inhibitory effects of the samples containing the equivalent amount of not irradiated parent FQ .

Since some samples produced a strong inhibition even at the lower concentrations range, we performed additional tests at a fixed, lower concentration ( $9 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ). As shown in Fig. 4, the chronic toxicity data indicated CIP as the most toxic FQ among the tested ones, still reducing consistently the light emission at such low concentration. A slight recovery of light intensity was observed at 48 h after contact (Fig. 4b) probably because of the particular property of bacteria to metabolize also toxic compounds. At this low concentration, the solutions of all other compounds did not show the
additive effect of the photoproducts presence (Fig. 4a). The differences in the B and C samples light emission ratio at 48 h are surely influenced by the bacterial growth oscillations in the very limited environment represented by the microplate wells.

The above reported data represented just the effects on this strain of marine bacteria and did not mean that the usually low concentrations of FQs detected in the urban WWTP effluent must be of no concern, as on different organisms and/or because bioaccumulation phenomena, different responses or information can be obtained by different ecosystem components.

## 4. Conclusions

In this research the sunlight degradation of five widely used FQ antibiotics has been studied in WWTP secondary effluent. It has been proved that also in this kind of matrix photochemistry (under solar light) is an important removal pathway for these otherwise persistent anthropogenic contaminants. FQs residuals in the few tens of $\mathrm{ng} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ concentration range were actually determined in our WWTP effluent.

However, during the first steps of the photolytic process various photoproducts were formed and clear evidences were obtained to ascribe a toxic effect specifically to the FQs photodegradation products. These outcomes posed the need for further investigation in order to assess the real environmental impact of the specific contaminants after photodegradation at different degrees. The simple biotoxicity assays here employed confirmed the prevalent importance of the information obtained from the long-term (24-48 h) contact tests (chronic toxicity), an experimental condition close to the real occurrence in the environment for persistent pollutants. Nevertheless, the carrying out of further toxicity tests on organisms different from $V$. fischeri is compulsory to clarify these controversial effects of the chemical contaminants photodegradation and to design the more effective treatments able to remove these pollutants.
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## Table captions

Table 1 Main physical-chemical parameters of wastewater and river water samples.

Table 2 Relative percentage distribution of FQ photoproducts obtained by irradiation of antibiotic standard solutions ( $25 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ).

Table 3 Kinetic constants ( $k$ ) determined under natural sunlight in urban WWTP secondary effluent and in river water for comparison, individually fortified with $20-50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ of each FQ .

## Figure captions

Fig. 1. HPLC-ESI-MS chromatogram obtained for the SPE extract of the WWTP effluent.

Fig. 2. Degradation profiles obtained under solar light in urban WWTP secondary effluent for CIP ( $\downarrow$ ), DAN ( $\times$ ), ENR $(+), \operatorname{LEV}(\Delta), \operatorname{MAR}(\square)$.

Fig. 3. Light emission recorded for solution $B$ (striped bars) and solution $C$ (white bars) of the tested FQs in the concentration range 21-29 $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ (a) and $14-19 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ (b) at 24 h after contact. Error bars represent the standard deviation ( $n=8$ ).

Fig. 4. Light emission recorded for solution B (striped bars) and solution C (white bars) of the $9 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{FQs}$ solutions at 24 h (a) and $48 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~b})$. Error bars represent the standard deviation ( $n=8$ ).

| Parameters | WWTP <br> secondary <br> effluent <br> $\left(\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ | River <br> water $^{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| COD | 25 | 4 |
| DOC | 6 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{BOD}_{5}$ | $<10$ | $<10$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | 162 | 9 |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | 121 | 29.3 |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | 5.3 | 6.5 |
| $\mathrm{P} \mathrm{TOT}^{\text {TO }}$ | 0.9 | 0.03 |
| a Strin |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Sturini et al., 2012a)

| FQ | Photoproduct | Relative percentage distribution (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C1 | 24 |
| CIP | C2 | 31 |
|  | C3 | 1 |
|  | C4 | 45 |
|  | D1 | 16 |
|  | D2 | 7 |
| DAN | D3 | 20 |
|  | D4 | 18 |
|  | D5 | 11 |
|  | D6 | 28 |
|  | E | 1 |
|  | E1 | 0.5 |
|  | E2 | 4 |
| ENR | D | 7 |
|  | C | 21 |
|  | A | 25 |
|  | B | 40 |
|  | L1 | 12 |
|  | L2 | 1 |
| LEV | L3 | 1 |
|  | L4 | 1 |
|  | L7 | 85 |
| MAR | G | 48 |
|  | F | 52 |


| FQ | WWTP secondary effluent | River water |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $k\left(\mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)$ | $k\left(\mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| CIP | $0.110(6)$ | $0.22(2)$ |
| DAN | $0.31(5)$ | $0.66(3)$ |
| ENR | $0.077(6)$ | $0.24(3)$ |
| LEV | $0.010(4)$ | $0.19(2)$ |
| MAR | $0.061(3)$ | $0.061(2)$ |
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